

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/954,574	LYSTER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
George R. Evanisko	3762	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(3) _____

(2) Brinton Yorks.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to clarify the language in the claims about the electrodes that are "smaller" or "larger" than other electrodes as being discussed in view of the total active area (the conductive area used to apply the pulse) of the pair of electrodes

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)